

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,326	12/14/2001	Robert C.U. Yu	A0A96	8625
7:	590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BEI	RRIDGE, PLC		HARAN,	т иног
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	1, 111 22220		1733	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_f(/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 110
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/683,326	YU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John T. Haran	1733	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: Notice of Appeal Fil	<u>ed</u>
(1) <u>John T. Haran</u> .	(3)		•
(2) <u>Melanie McCollum</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 December 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A	pplicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance	a written summary of the of the interview, since	ne substance
(Exappliner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature if one	onriato)
(App	incantrophilicant a Mehicaetta	live Signature – ir appr	opnate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a message to verify that an Appeal Brief had been filed with this case. Related application 09/683,329 mentioned in its Appeal Brief filed on 11/22/04 that an Appeal Brief had been filed in this case (09/683,326) on 11/17/04. There is no record of the Appeal Brief being received. No reply to the voice mail message left by the Examiner has been made and as of 1/7/05 there is no record of the Appeal Brief being filed..